## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 20 CR 00145 ADA
Plaintiff, v.	<b>DETENTION ORDER</b> (Violation of Supervised Release)
STEVEN BENNETT,	
Defendant.	
The defendant having been arrested for alleged visupervised release; and  Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court orders the above-named	Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.l(a)(6)
x The defendant has not met defendant's burden of	establishing by clear and convincing evidence

X The defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence

that defendant is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if

This finding is based on the reasons stated on the record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 18, 2022** 

that defendant is not likely to flee; and/or

released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE